	Case 2:25-cv-01243-AC	Document 21	Filed 10/23/25	Page 1 of 3	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	JOSE CARRIZALES,		No. 2:25-cv-0124	13 AC	
12	Plaintiff,				
13	v.		<u>ORDER</u>		
14	CITY OF VALLEJO and VIS	HDEEP			
15	GIRI, Defendants.				
16		•			
17					
18	This case was filed on April 30, 2025. ECF No. 1. On July 24, 2025, an order was issued				
19	assigning this case to the undersigned for all purposes upon the parties' consent. ECF No. 13.				
20	Defendants filed a motion to dismiss (ECF No. 9) which was granted in part and denied in part				
21	with leave to amend. ECF No. 18. Plaintiff has opted to proceed on the remaining portions of the				
22	original complaint. ECF No. 20.				
23	Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:				
24	1. A Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Conference is set for December 17, 2025 at 10:00 a.m. via				
25	Zoom before Magistrate Judge Allison Claire. All parties shall appear by counsel or in				
26	person if acting without counsel.				
27	2. Not later than fourteen (14) days prior to the Status Conference, the parties shall file status				
28	reports addressing the following matters:				
			1		

26

27

28

- a. Service of process;
- b. Possible joinder of additional parties;
- c. Any expected or desired amendment of the pleadings;
- d. Jurisdiction and venue;
- e. Anticipated motions and their scheduling;
- f. The report required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26 outlining the proposed discovery plan and its scheduling, including disclosure of expert witnesses;
- g. Future proceedings, including setting appropriate cut-off dates for discovery and law and motion, and the scheduling of a pretrial conference and trial;
- h. Special procedures, if any;
- i. Estimated trial time;
- Modification of standard pretrial procedures specified by the rules due to the simplicity or complexity of the proceedings;
- k. Whether the case is related to any other cases, including bankruptcy;
- 1. Whether a settlement conference should be scheduled;
- m. Any other matters that may add to the just and expeditious disposition of this matter
- 3. The parties are informed that they may elect to participate in the court's Voluntary Dispute Resolution Program ("VDRP") by contacting the court's VDRP administrator, Sujean Park, at (916) 930-4278 or SPark@caed.uscourts.gov. The parties shall carefully review and comply with Local Rule 271, which outlines the specifications and requirements of the VDRP. The parties are directed to meet and confer regarding possible VDRP participation, and contact Ms. Park to make the necessary arrangements if both parties agree that participation may be beneficial, within 45

¹ The resources of the VDRP program are limited, and the parties are expected to make good faith efforts to timely and fully exhaust informal settlement efforts prior to initiating participation in the VDRP. The court will look with disfavor upon parties stalling or failing to participate in initial informal discussions, prompting potentially unnecessary participation in the VDRP and straining the program's resources.

	Case 2:25-cv-01243-AC Document 21 Filed 10/23/25 Page 3 of 3				
1	days of this order. If the parties agree to participate in VDRP the status conference will				
2	be vacated, to be reset if the case fails to settle.				
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.				
4	DATED: October 23, 2025				
5	alles Clane				
6	ALLISON CLAIRE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE				
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					